

# Lessons learned in post-pandemic: Implications of teleworking for technology-based professionals in Costa Rica

Gabriel Silva-Atencio, PhD<sup>1</sup> , and Jesús Morgan-Asch, PhD<sup>2</sup> 

<sup>1</sup>Universidad Latinoamericana de Ciencia y Tecnología (ULACIT), San José, Costa Rica, gsilvaa468@ulacit.ed.cr

<sup>2</sup>Universidad Fidelitas, San José, Costa Rica, jmorgan10782@ufide.ac.cr

**Abstract**— *This article addresses the analysis of the influence of teleworking on technology professionals in Costa Rica as an effect of the current situation. Dialogues with experts on the subject and a detailed study of the literature expose trends in work modalities, emphasizing remote and mixed forms and examining their impact on work efficiency, well-being, and the economy. The increase in the adoption of remote approaches is highlighted both by international and national firms. Monetary and savings advantages are identified, as are associated concerns, such as a sedentary lifestyle and isolation. In addition, the importance of organization and adaptability is underscored, along with the need to maintain spaces for collaboration. Suggestions are provided to adjust to these changes and take advantage of this new work model's opportunities in a competitive and constantly changing environment.*

**Keywords**— *Remote work, Pandemic, Socioeconomic impact, Productivity, Physical and Mental Health.*

## I. INTRODUCTION

Traditionally, when people think of work, they visualize an office, a group of people gathered in the same place performing different tasks with the same purpose; however, as of 2019, the appearance and subsequent spread of the COVID-19 virus transformed and, on many occasions, moved this concept to the homes of each of the professionals. According to Reference [1], about 70% of workers stuck to a face-to-face model before the pandemic, 20% to a hybrid one, and 10% to a remote one.

Regardless of the organization, the pandemic forced everyone to adopt the health measures imposed by each country to protect their employees, stop the spread of the virus, and avoid saturation of health centers. Social interactions were reduced, and technology became the communication channel par excellence.

One of the main concerns for employers was related to the issue of productivity. Reference [2] mentions that there has been a perception in many organizations that, if employees are not monitored, they will not work efficiently, at least not in the same way they would in an office. This line of thinking raises questions. For example, how was team

member performance measured under this new model adopted so abruptly? What changes were necessary to ensure that projects were not impacted and, with it, the clients and the organization? What evidence is available from recent studies? Was there a negative impact on the fulfillment of team member responsibilities?

This also impacted employees forced to move to the remote model immediately, without knowing whether they had the mental and physical capacity to cope. As a result of this change, many workers began to show symptoms of mental problems. Reference [3] studied how managers and physical trainers showed that the loss of work-life balance could potentially increase stress levels; where similarly, many people who did not do remote work had difficulties because most needed more space to perform their jobs.

Based on the evidence obtained through adopting remote work, is it possible to defend this model over the rest of the existing models? What motivates the organizations that have decided to maintain it? Is this model the best alternative to ensure the economic well-being of both parties? Can a collaborative work environment be generated with this model? How has this experience impacted the organizations in terms of defining benefits, and how has this experience affected the organizations?

## II. LITERATURE REVIEW

The traditional labor market was primarily accustomed to working in a space determined by a company, accompanied by a group of employees who shared the same physical space, resources, and time. This corporate real estate market was also highly competitive. He states that companies competed to have the best offices in the main urban centers of the cities since they were mainly focused on promoting collaboration. He mentions that digital collaboration tools, such as Zoom or Microsoft Teams, allow communication with anyone, anywhere in the world, and that this has made many companies question the need and the amounts allocated to their travel budgets when considering that these tools are sufficient.

The spread of the pandemic brought with it an increased need for Information Technology (IT) services. Reference [4] states, that after a chaotic start, the pandemic has proven good business for the IT world. This statement is supported by the demand for services experienced by the need for

**Digital Object Identifier:** (only for full papers, inserted by LACCEI).

**ISSN, ISBN:** (to be inserted by LACCEI).

**DO NOT REMOVE**

organizations to maintain their operations during the transition to remote working models.

Some organizations already had the model of pre-pandemic remote work. Reference [5] defines it as work performed remotely using information and communication technologies to produce goods and services for one's account or the account of others and to sell products and services to the world. This modality was the most widely implemented in all companies worldwide as a measure, in most cases, of mandatory compliance. Similarly, some of the companies that refrained from implementing this work model due to the nature of their activities chose to resort to the hybrid work model, which, as explained by Reference [6], is:

The provision of salaried services that is carried out mainly at the team member's home or at a place freely chosen by the team member, as an alternative to being carried out in person at the company's work center, either partially or totally, using the use or report of technological, computer or telecommunications means.

Another existing model is the so-called Work From Anywhere (WFA), better known as a digital nomad, which, as Reference [7] indicates is becoming a more permanent element in all jobs that allow it and is composed of those workers who choose to move to another location that suits their personal preferences and objectives. This model has been reinforced with the emergence and adoption of remote work, as industries discovered that if employees were provided with the technological resources, they usually use to perform their jobs, they would be able to work from anywhere in the world, with the only restriction being a stable and preferably broadband internet connection.

Another example is the freelancer, which refers to self-employed workers, according to Reference [8]. They are characterized by working independently, being highly prepared, and leading innovation and entrepreneurship. They offer their services to different companies, and depending on the agreement between both parties, they can have their own work schedule, team, and objectives.

According to a study conducted by the Reference [9], the expense associated with renting and paying rent for workspace and real estate constituted 70% of the budget of at least 12 state institutions at the date of publication of the study, equivalent to 0.3% of the Gross Domestic Product (GDP). The same study concludes that the prices paid exceed the real estate market average, annual readjustments far exceed inflation, and there needs to be more space.

The workspace demands a series of operational and administrative expenses. Reference [10] states that a 20-level office building consumes, on average, 219.7 Megawatts per hour (MWh) per month of electricity, peaking at 265.3 MWh per month during the summer months.

According to Reference [11], the impact of the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic on the establishment of remote work resulted in the transformation of the traditional office; even though some companies were already optionally contemplating hybrid models, the post-pandemic office will

make them the norm. Reference [11] mentions just over half of corporate employers expect employees to work at least two days from home, and 72% want to continue, even after the pandemic is over. Reference [12] reports that 30%-40% of United States of America workers could adopt remote work models permanently post-pandemic.

Part of this desire of employees to continue working remotely is associated with the perceived savings generated by not having to travel to an office. According to Reference [13], according to a survey conducted by the Bankrate.com website, nearly 60% of United States of America workers surveyed mentioned that they have seen their expenses reduced since adopting remote work. The same Korsh cites a survey by the FlexJobs site, which says that these savings range from \$25 to \$200 per week.

According to Reference [14], the benefits of adopting remote work models such as WFA, allow organizations to reduce or eliminate their real estate expenses, access to recruiting talent globally, improve the level of commitment to its employees, increase the level of productivity and reduce the risk of job burnout. For their part, employees gain geographic flexibility to live where they prefer, transportation times to the office are eliminated, the possibility of choosing two or more jobs at the same time without geographic limitation is expanded, quality of life is improved, and they can even decide to become digital nomads and reduce their cost of living [15].

Reference [16], in an interview with small business owners, states that 59% of respondents do not plan to purchase or rent workspace, citing that the costs associated with maintaining an office far outweigh those of keeping models remote.

Another factor to consider is moving to less densely populated areas or areas with more natural amenities. Reference [17] highlights examples from several countries, including Chile, the United States of America, Ireland, and Japan, where those with the opportunity to work remotely have decided to leave the city and move to smaller towns to enjoy a quieter life and take advantage of the range of activities they have to offer. Governments have exploited this phenomenon to encourage population growth and inject local economies with capital. The case of Ireland is particularly notable in defining an entire rural development policy that includes, among other things, the implementation of nationwide broadband internet and incentives for rural businesses and governments to transform them into meeting centers for workers.

To introduce the productivity factor, it is necessary to start by defining how to measure it. According to Reference [18], traditional approaches to measuring productivity focus on the relationship between the tasks or products completed and the number of resources used. From this approach, resources are taken as those collaborators and the time they invested in addition to the tools they used among the value of what was produced. Reference [19] mentions the case of some companies that use software tools to monitor the

activities of employees. On the other hand, Reference [20] indicates that it's possible to evaluate the performance of their employees accurately, companies should focus on a framework of work by objectives, with their due Key Performance Indicators (KPIs).

According to Reference [21], a study conducted in Spain in 2020 concludes that, under the right conditions in terms of space, broadband internet connection, and predisposition, remote work can increase team member productivity by between 1.4% and 6.2%.

The results are positive when transitioning from a face-to-face or semi-face model to remote work and between different variations of remote work. Reference [14] mentions that, during a review based on data provided by the United States Patent and Trademark Office, those employees who transitioned between Work from Home (WFH) and WFA showed a 4.4% increase in productivity.

These productivity increases may be related to what Reference [22] calls productivity vampires; they refer to all those activities disguised as essential tasks when they reduce the productivity of employees and the processes they perform. In their article, they state how information technologies are directly associated with productivity, which is a mistaken view since they facilitate the performance of specific activities. Still, it is up to organizations and individuals to ensure that their use is restricted in such a way as to prioritize the implementation of essential tasks.

In a study aimed at understanding the impact on productivity as a direct result of adopting remote work, Reference [23] concludes that respondents' perception is mostly positive (70%), stating that their productivity has increased. This perception is supported by criteria such as increased creativity and freedom to perform work by adapting to their new workspace, time savings resulting in personal development opportunities, reduced perceived stress, improved work-life balance, and increased daily working hours.

Reference [24] develops an analysis of changes in the perception of productivity, taking as a reference different studies conducted by several authors between 2020 and 2021 while working on a series of surveys in several Scandinavian companies to compare the results. This author concludes that most respondents (38%) do not perceive any change, 24% report an increase, and 11% report a decrease in productivity.

Although several factors can improve productivity, these are linked to how organizations implement new measures to assimilate the transition to remote work. In this regard, Reference [25] mentions that workers can raise their productivity level if they implement practices such as working on tasks or areas that are attractive to them, defining personal goals, establishing routines, taking responsibility for their work, taking responsibility for human relations with their collaborators, practicing an empathetic approach, learning to say no to things they do not feel comfortable with and choosing the proper communication channels.

Reference [24] cite stress reduction, reduction or elimination of transportation time to the office or work center, decrease in interruptions, increase in meeting efficiency, increase in time to complete work, and improvement in work-life balance as the leading indicators for increased team member productivity.

Reference [26] highlights the impact of the establishment of remote work as a consequence of the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic on the increase in the supply of remote employment in Canada, as he mentions that it varied from 1.3% in February 2020 to 11.9% in September 2021, with the software and information technology services sector reporting the most significant increase. This change responds to technological trends such as Distributed Enterprise, raised by Gartner in its annual report that aims to give an insight into the industry shortly [27].

With the implementation of remote work, people gained advantages over the other models. Reference [28] states that the most significant benefit of remote work is that making a daily trip to a specific location is unnecessary. This time that is no longer used can be used for activities that significantly improve people's physical and mental health. For example, the time used in the mornings to prepare for the day can be used for physical activity, sleeping, writing, or any activity that can reduce work stress. Reference [29] cite that working from home allows people to control their personal lives better, share quality time with their families, participate in activities, save money, and work in comfortable clothes.

Considering the family aspect, with remote work, one can move to rural areas, near relatives or health or study centers without worrying about being geographically close to an office. Reference [17] explains now is possible to live in areas where historically jobs have not been offered for certain professionals. This has a tremendous positive impact on the workers and the small towns they decide to migrate to.

According to Reference [29]:

Remote work is composed of a flexible schedule arrangement; this flexibility is reflected in aspects such as the place of work (home, co-work, etc.), working hours (not defined, adjustable according to the collaborator), and the availability of time, which can be used as needed to attend to some unforeseen event or take breaks.

Many companies are offering remote work as a benefit to increase their attractiveness to competitors. However, this flexibility can also be seen from another point of view; according to Reference [30] working with flexible hours means being available during non-working hours, working longer, and being able to work from anywhere, aspects such as differences between time zones, or availability are the main concerns of employers.

However, workers need to understand the balance between daily life and work. Reference [31] points out that conditions outside the organization and the individual's reality have a direct relationship and impact on the individual's ability to cope with family and work obligations. Even with the increase in available time due to the adoption of remote

work, some studies reveal that individuals' physical activity level has yet to be positively altered. Reference [32] mentions that out of a group of 66 studies, 25 showed alterations in the level of physical activity, where 25 of these reported that a range between 14% to 72% of respondents had reduced their activity level. Those who said no change ranged from 11% to 71%, and those who increased their activity level went from 3% to 46%.

On the other hand, adopting remote work sometimes results in increased responsibilities or workload. According to Reference [33] the task requirement is the notion that you don't know where a job is taking you and whether the job will change. This uncertainty leads to stress resulting in feelings of loss of control and worry.

Negative thoughts are a reality for collaborators who find themselves in a team that only focuses on work and does not recognize the importance of people and human relationships. Reference [34] explains that virtual workers may feel treated as a cog in a machine, rather than an essential part of the team. It is necessary to keep in mind that human relationships are what sustain collaboration and teamwork; these are essential for each individual.

When reviewing the legislation present in Costa Rica regarding remote work, it can be understood that the establishment of this is based on an agreement between the employer and the team member and that this benefit can be given during or after the hiring process [35]. Similarly, this same document mentions that remote work can be used to ensure the generation of benefits between them:

Promote social development in the territories, encourage, through tripartite dialogue, the creation of alliances between the public sector, the trade union sector, and the business sector, national and international, promote telework in socioeconomically vulnerable groups and people with care responsibilities, provide the necessary conditions so that the different regions of the country can be attractive and suitable for the implementation of telework, improve urban mobility, contribute to the modernization of cities and promote the sustainable development of the country (Articles 4 and 5).

Another sector impacted by remote work is the insurance industry; according to an interview conducted by Reference [36], one of the main concerns is cybersecurity. This point is based on the fact that most organizations were forced to adopt remote work abruptly, and some might need the proper infrastructure to ensure that access to their information is adequately protected. Another point mentioned is that of ergonomics. It is emphasized that some organizations strive to provide adequate ergonomics to prevent their employees' medium and long-term injuries; however, the control that previously existed in offices and workplaces is lost by adopting remote work. Reference [37] mentions that most of the existing pre-pandemic policies that say remote work partially cover employees and limit that coverage to a specific residential area; he emphasizes that the agreements to allow remote work as a benefit for employees must be clearly

defined by the employer, including places of residence, schedules, cybersecurity parameters, and processes.

### III. METHODOLOGY

To achieve the goals set out in this study, a research process was undertaken involving multiple recognized databases, such as EBSCOhost, ScienceDirect, and Google Scholar. In this process, the reliability and veracity of the content consulted were meticulously verified, thus enriching the required analysis.

Priority was given to including international sources, such as Gartner reports and World Economic Forum (WEF) publications, to obtain comprehensive perspectives. At the same time, a wide range of academic research and studies by independent authors whose focus on innovation and sustainability at a global level enriched the understanding of the topic were also taken into account.

Additionally, semi-structured interviews were conducted with individuals considered crucial in the field under investigation. These interviews, anchored in a qualitative approach, provided a direct and enriching perspective for a deeper understanding of the topic [38].

The methodology adopted constituted a solid pillar to achieve the proposed objectives. It included a qualitative, descriptive, and correlational approach. The sample consisted of fifty experts in the area of human talent management in the IT sector in Costa Rica, who had successful results in achieving their business goals for the year 2022, along with documented information on good practices for the realization of the work functions of the collaborators in the modality of teleworking after the pandemic of COVID-19. Therefore, the selection of the sample used a non-probabilistic criterion, intentional and by convenience, to ensure a more accurate representation of the phenomenon to be studied [39, 40].

According to Reference [41], in a qualitative approach to research, the hypothesis is discovered during the process, being a guide for the researcher in the research process and not a method of testing whether an idea is accurate. The working hypothesis of the study was to identify through a group of experts in companies in the IT sector, which have successfully implemented a remote work model during and after the COVID-19 pandemic, with the results being a positive impact on labor productivity.

The sample (population to conduct the research) is chosen by the non-probabilistic sampling technique where the subjects of the population are carefully selected using specific criteria, seeking as far as possible representativeness, because the interviewed subjects must have sufficient knowledge to expose their points of view about the proposed research topic. These sampling techniques are also classified, so for this research, it is decided to opt for purposive or convenience sampling, as explained by Reference [42]:

This method is characterized by a dedicated search for qualitatively representative samples, including apparently typical groups. That is, they meet the characteristics of

interest to the researchers, in addition to intentionally selecting individuals from the population to whom there is generally easy access or through open calls, in which people come voluntarily to participate in the study until the number required for the sample is reached.

#### IV. RESULTS

The results of the selected sample were collected through virtual sessions, through the use of the Microsoft Teams platform, where we sought to identify the main impacts experienced as a result of the adoption and implementation of remote work, among which we highlight the transformation of processes towards a virtualization-oriented approach that involves elements of logistics, communication, and collaboration through different technological tools and also through other companies in the case of the transfer of computer equipment back and forth of employees.

There is also a generalized perception of the organizations' reduction or transformation of expenses. In the case of operating expenses, items such as invoices for consumption of essential services, rental of office space, private security services, cleaning services, cafeteria services, and transportation services were mentioned (some of the interviewees said that transportation services were offered to employees whose residence is more than 10 kilometers from the work center).

In the case of employees, there is a perceived reduction in expenses related to transportation, fuel, parking expenses, consumption of prepared food (although in some cases, there is also a tendency to consume food prepared and ordered through digital platforms), and in some cases clothing; additional expenses are also perceived in aspects such as an improvement in the contracted internet service and consumption of essential services.

Regarding the transformation of expenses, interviewees mentioned that the decrease in operating expenses and the change of budgets, such as the amounts dedicated to the growth of physical infrastructure, have been transformed into an increase in other costs based on team member benefits. These expenses include subsidies for essential services (water, electricity, internet) and salary increases or bonuses, which in turn are linked to the efforts made by organizations to attract and retain talent.

Regarding known work models, the responses include hybrid, remote, face-to-face, and WFA; in some cases, reduced workdays (four 10-hour workdays) are mentioned, but models such as freelancer and digital nomad are not mentioned. When asked which model is considered the most beneficial for employers, the answers varied between hybrid, which justifies maintaining a balance between the creation of social relationships and work, and the remote model, with the option of providing the team member with the flexibility to attend in person when they wish.

Another relevant point is the access to talent from areas geographically far from the work center, which was a

limitation for pre-pandemic organizations. In the team member's case, most responses focus on the remote model as the option that offers the best benefits. Several scenarios are mentioned depending on the experts interviewed and their level of interaction with the rest of the employees.

As adverse aspects in some cases, there is an affectionation in factors such as anxiety, overcrowding, and loneliness that generate the need to request psychological care services, which have become part of the packages included by insurers or externally in conjunction with the organization. However, it is also mentioned that the number of cases is deficient and that when it occurs, there is usually a history of similar issues, even before the pandemic. Another example lies in the workspace within the team member's home, the number of people present during the day, and how this impacts the team member's work by generating interruptions and noise and thus raising stress levels.

On the other hand, the positive opinions shared by the interviewees about the collaborators include a positive perception; among the main factors are the work-life balance that is managed by adopting remote work, the possibility of having and better managing the time before and after the workday, being able to share quality time with family and loved ones, being able to manage their routines and schedules better to make room for physical activity and create a more ecological environment with the reduction of pollution produced using transportation.

An additional perspective mentions that, in terms of mental health, initially, the impact may be positive for the first few months. Still, in a long time, there should be the option of giving the team member the space to go to the office and separate the workspace at home from their personal life; otherwise, in the long term, there could be problems related to mental health [43].

Regarding physical health, the interviewees mentioned that this is a pre-existing issue since, if the team member is sedentary, the increase in available time and the workplace change should not necessarily mean a difference in their behavioral patterns related to physical activity. However, they also mention that exceptions may occur, either due to the team member's motivation and discipline or as new benefits offered by organizations to promote a positive impact on the health of their employees.

When asked about the fundamental factors that must be taken into account to implement remote work in an organization, aspects such as culture at both the personal and organizational level, infrastructure (availability of broadband internet in the workplace, work equipment such as computers, monitors, mouse, keyboard, headphones, ergonomic equipment and the use of Virtual Private Networks (VPN)) are mentioned, in some cases it is justified to have an alternative place to mobilize in case of eventualities that may disrupt the performance of work (power, water, or internet outages), an adequate definition of the processes and how both parties (employer and team member) will work.

In the case of WFA and WFH with multiple locations, issues such as legislation by the country, insurance companies, and, in the particular case of Costa Rica, the free zone regulations for instances in which the company owns the assets are mentioned, as this exposes them to periodic auditing and control processes.

Also, elements such as a robust infrastructure with broadband internet speeds and comprehensive coverage are mentioned when questioning the reference zones in remote work such as the United States of America and Europe. In addition, legislation prepared to cover collaborators, organizational culture, and consolidated processes created for this purpose and constantly updated competitiveness in the market among traditional companies, transnationals, and start-ups. Additionally, it is mentioned that these areas are concerned with documenting the progress and success of these activities, both in articles and in enacting laws.

When asked about the perceived impact on productivity, the interviewees mentioned that this depends on how the organization evaluates it; in most cases, an approach by objectives is cited, so the trend goes from a neutral perception to a positive perception, reflected in an increase in the goals achieved in the same review time concerning previous periods.

Additionally, it is emphasized that if the organization did not have a process to achieve the business objectives, the impact would be harmful since the worker would not know how to meet those objectives from the beginning; on the contrary, if there are clearly defined rules, processes and procedures, there should be no impact. Another point to consider is team member motivation, the change of environment, and the previously mentioned benefits, which have a direct relationship with the level of productivity offered in terms of aspects such as flexibility in schedules and time management; in some cases, it is necessary to review these productivity levels as a preventive factor to avoid team member burnout, since some of the interviewees mentioned that there is a tendency to overexertion which leads to physical and mental exhaustion.

When mentioning the issue of WFA and the trend towards a negative perception by employers, the interviewees said that this could be seen in traditional industries such as manufacturing, logistics, banking, and finance, among others whose work is associated with presence. In contrast, in the case of Information Technology, the opposite happens. A positive perception is seen towards this modality to the point that some even consider it necessary for the development of professional organizations and in the exercise of competition and attractiveness of companies for the attraction and retention of talent.

When questioned about the impact of the establishment of remote work as a consequence of the pandemic, according to the interviewees, the adoption of remote work in a generalized way is not something that was kept under the control of the organizations; this compliance arises from the provisions of the ministries of health and governments of each

country. Therefore, the process had to have been given as long as the position's profile allowed adoption.

However, in most cases, it is considered that this has been a necessary transformation process to bring the country closer to the trends set by the United States of America and European companies and to revolutionize the market for attracting talent to organizations. In many cases, it is mentioned that organizations headquartered in major metropolitan areas had to limit themselves to hiring talent in the exact geographical location or willing to travel to an office. This has changed with the adoption of remote work, as the search criteria expand to the entire country and, in some cases, outside the country, even in places where the organization does not have physical headquarters.

This openness, as mentioned by the interviewees, has also impacted the competitiveness presented in the market. Regarding the process of adopting remote work, it was said that it happened quickly since it was necessary and simultaneously demonstrated the level of resilience of the organizations and their teams.

When asked about the increase in the number of jobs that offer remote work in Costa Rica, the interviewees mentioned that there has been a considerable increase in the supply, which has impacted efforts to attract and retain talent within organizations.

The general perception is based on the fact that remote work should be a fundamental part of all organizations that offer positions related to information technologies because competition is expanding not only to the local market but also to the international market. This forces national and transnational companies that have headquarters in the country to work proactive approaches to prevent the departure of employees to organizations better prepared to meet the demand for benefits that they have experienced since the establishment of remote work as a result of the pandemic.

According to the interviewees, it would be counterproductive for the technology sector to change the model from remote to face-to-face work, that is, from the current model to a pre-pandemic one, because it would mean a setback in the advances generated from the change in processes and the way of working when adapting the remote model. It is even mentioned that this could increase the risk of team member defection to organizations considering maintaining remote work permanently.

## V. DISCUSSIONS

Reflection on the results collected is inserted in a context where significant nuances emerge. The data extracted through the meticulous methodological process provides a holistic perspective of the perceptions and trends surrounding remote work in Costa Rica.

Following the corpus of documents reviewed, the data obtained show a substantive transformation in traditional work models driven by the expansion of remote work. This metamorphosis, strongly influenced by the pandemic's

impact, reflects several international entities' projections. There is clear evidence of how organizations have proactively adjusted to the new work dynamics, readjusting corporate policies and strategies accordingly [44].

Detailed analysis of the interviews sheds light on the perceptions of key stakeholders, especially highlighting the positive impact on productivity and emotional well-being. These results harmonize powerfully with the literature proposing how remote work can effectively balance work and personal spheres, favorably influencing job satisfaction and efficiency.

However, specific challenges inherent in this transition emerge. The imperative of maintaining strong communication and effective management stands as a nodal point for the successful implementation of remote work. In addition, the palpable diversity in individual experiences underscores the importance of customized approaches to maximize the inherent benefits [44].

In summary, the results presented and analyzed emphasize the importance of remote work in the current employment landscape. The amalgamation of qualitative perspectives provides a nuanced view of this transformation, revealing its advantages and challenges [45]. These findings, therefore, are thought-provoking and lay a solid foundation for further exploration in this ever-dynamic sphere.

#### VI. Conclusions

At the closing point, it is possible to draw essential conclusions from this research. The contemporary environment has led to a scenario in which remote work has emerged as a relevant protagonist. This change implies a shift in work dynamics and a rethinking of work interactions. However, amid this transformation, challenges arise that deserve careful analysis.

Remote work has proven to be an adaptable and beneficial response in a changing context. However, it is imperative to recognize that its adoption is not uniform [46]. The lack of face-to-face interaction can create barriers to building strong working relationships and blur personal and professional boundaries. Therefore, it is crucial to address these facets in search of a balance.

From the findings, it is suggested that the work landscape is evolving toward a more flexible model. Organizations embracing this transformation and meeting its challenges will be better positioned to thrive. Thus, it is proposed that companies adopt a hybrid approach, combining the flexibility of remote work with the vitality of the traditional work environment. This will allow for greater adaptability and resilience.

Ultimately, this exploration underscores that remote work is a paradigm that reconfigures the notion of productivity, well-being, and human connection [44]. Organizations are urged to adopt agile strategies that foster work performance and holistic well-being in an ever-changing world. In this way, they can unlock the potential of remote work in this path of work evolution.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank all those involved in the work who made it possible to achieve the objectives of the research study.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Gartner. "Remote Work After COVID-19." Gartner Human Resources Research Team. <https://www.gartner.com/en/human-resources/trends/remote-work-after-covid> (accessed 2023).
- [2] R. Maurer. "Study finds productivity not deterred by a shift to remote work." SHRM. <https://www.shrm.org/hr-today/news/hr-news/Pages/Study-Productivity-Shift-Remote-Work-COVID-Coronavirus.aspx> (accessed 2023).
- [3] A. Chu, T. Chan, and M. So, "Learning from work-from-home issues during the COVID-19 pandemic: Balance speaks louder than words," *PloS one*, vol. 17, no. 1, p. e0261969, 2022, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0261969>.
- [4] M. Morris, "Making Connections: Remote-work Movement Presents Challenges, Opportunities in IT," *BusinessWest*, vol. 37, no. 26, pp. 34-37, 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://businesswest.com/blog/remote-work-movement-presents-challenges-opportunities-in-it/>.
- [5] R. Masson, "El trabajo en un mundo cambiante: El teletrabajo," 2021. [Online]. Available: <http://riull.ull.es/xmlui/handle/915/25689>.
- [6] J. García-Viña, "Hacia un modelo híbrido de prestación de trabajo: smart working," *Relaciones Laborales y Derecho del Empleo*, 2021. [Online]. Available: [https://ejcls.adapt.it/index.php/rlde\\_adapt/article/view/960](https://ejcls.adapt.it/index.php/rlde_adapt/article/view/960).
- [7] A. Midha. "How 'work from anywhere' can build the city of the future." World Economic Forum. <https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2021/04/future-of-smart-cities-covid-19-digital/> (accessed 2023).
- [8] A. Burke and M. Cowling, "The role of freelancers in entrepreneurship and small business," *Small Business Economics*, vol. 55, pp. 389-392, 2020, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11187-019-00239-5>.
- [9] MdH. "Estudio de situación sobre alquiler de inmuebles en el Gobierno Central (Informe N° DFOE-SAF-IF-19-2016)." Ministerio de Hacienda. <https://es.readkong.com/page/estudio-de-situacion-sobre-alquiler-de-inmuebles-en-el-7181082> (accessed 2023).
- [10] L. Siverio-Valle, D. Quintero-Cabrera, and E. Fariñas-Wong, "Eficiencia energética en edificios de oficinas mediante tecnología de iluminación LED y parque solar FV," *Ingeniería Energética*, vol. 42, no. 2, pp. 69-80, 2021. [Online]. Available: <http://scielo.sld.cu/scielo.php?pid=S1815-59012021000200069&script=sci.arttext>.
- [11] E. Wynder, "Office guide highlights costs, benefits of remote work models," *Grand Rapids Business Journal*, vol. 39, no. 12, pp. 1-4, 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://www.craigslist.com/news/technology/office-guide-highlights-costs-benefits-of-remote-work-models/>.
- [12] Motus. "Motus Report Reveals U.S. Remote Workforce Could Reach 30% Permanently When Offices Reopen." *Business Wire*. <https://www.businesswire.com/news/home/20200428005102/en/Motus-Report-Reveals-U.S.-Remote-Workforce-Reach#:~:text=According%20to%20the%20findings%2C%20as%20much%20as%2030%25,businesses%20are%20able%20to%20evolve%20in%20the%20post-COVID-19%20era.> (accessed 2023).
- [13] M. Korsh, "Empty Cubicles? Many Workers Want to Stay Home," *Kiplinger's Personal Finance*, vol. 75, no. 9, p. 12, 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://www.kiplinger.com/personal-finance/careers/work-from-home-jobs/603168/empty-cubicles-many-workers-want-to-stay-home#:~:text=But%20many%20employees%20are%20hesitant%20to%20return%20to,cannot%20continue%20remote%20work%20in%20their%20current%20role.>
- [14] P. Choudhury, "Our work-from-anywhere future," *Harvard Business Review*, vol. 98, no. 6, p. 58, 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://hbr.org/2020/11/our-work-from-anywhere-future>.
- [15] A. Islam, "Work-from/at/for-home: COVID-19 and the future of work—A critical review," *Geoforum*, vol. 128, pp. 33-36, 2022, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoforum.2021.11.018>

- [16] S. Roddy, "59% of Small Businesses Without Office Space Won't Look for Any in 2021, Citing both the Financial Benefits and Improved Employee Satisfaction of Remote Work," PR Newswire US, 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://markets.businessinsider.com/news/stocks/59-of-small-businesses-without-office-space-won-t-look-for-any-in-2021-citing-both-the-financial-benefits-and-improved-employee-satisfaction-of-remote-work-1030066587#:~:text=WASHINGTON%2C%20Feb.%2010%2C%202021%20%2FPRNewswire%2F%20--%20More%20than,report%20from%20Clutch%2C%20the%20leading%20B2B%20research%20firm>.
- [17] M. Johanson. "The small cities and towns booming from remote work." BBC Worklife. <https://www.bbc.com/worklife/article/20220125-the-small-cities-and-towns-booming-from-remote-work> (accessed 2023).
- [18] N. Oulton, "Measuring productivity: theory and British practice," Economic Statistics Centre of Excellence, London, U.K., 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://eprints.lse.ac.uk/106474/>.
- [19] J. Roberts. "The boss in your bedroom: As workplace surveillance spreads, what are your rights?" Fortune. <https://fortune.com/2020/05/20/work-surveillance-worker-rights-privacy-coronavirus/> (accessed 2023).
- [20] X. Shi, A. V. Moudon, B. H. Lee, Q. Shen, and X. J. Ban, "Factors influencing teleworking productivity—A natural experiment during the COVID-19 pandemic," Findings, 2020, doi: <https://doi.org/10.32866/001c.18195>.
- [21] J. Rodríguez and C. Pérez, "El teletrabajo podría aumentar la productividad en España entre un 1,4% y un 6,2%," Especial Directivos, pp. 56-60, 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://www.expansion.com/economia/2020/09/13/5f5dfdb1468aeb35598b4606.html>.
- [22] J. L. King and A. J. Ehrenberg, "The productivity vampires," Information Systems Frontiers, vol. 22, pp. 11-15, 2020, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10796-019-09943-9>.
- [23] T. J. George, L. E. Atwater, D. Maneethai, and J. M. Madera, "Supporting the productivity and wellbeing of remote workers: Lessons from COVID-19," Organizational Dynamics, vol. 51, no. 2, p. 100869, 2022, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orgdyn.2021.100869>.
- [24] D. Smitte, A. Tkalich, N. Moe, E. Papatheocharous, E. Klotins, and M. Buvik, "Changes in perceived productivity of software engineers during COVID-19 pandemic: The voice of evidence," Journal of Systems and Software, vol. 186, p. 111197, 2022, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jss.2021.111197>.
- [25] V. Sochat, "The 10 best practices for remote software engineering," Communications of the ACM, vol. 64, no. 5, pp. 32-36, 2021, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1145/3459613>.
- [26] S. Hansen, P. J. Lambert, N. Bloom, S. J. Davis, R. Sadun, and B. Taska, "Remote work across jobs, companies, and space," 2023, doi: <https://doi.org/10.3386/w31007>.
- [27] J. Wang. "Top Strategic Technology Trends for 2022." Gartner. <https://www.gartner.com/en/information-technology/insights/top-technology-trends> (accessed 2023).
- [28] F. Richter. "This is what people love the most about working from home." World Economic Forum. <https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2021/06/the-perks-of-working-from-home> (accessed 2023).
- [29] J. De Klerk, M. Joubert, and H. Mosca, "Is working from home the new workplace panacea? Lessons from the COVID-19 pandemic for the future world of work," SA Journal of Industrial Psychology, vol. 47, no. 1, pp. 1-14, 2021, doi: <https://doi.org/10.4102/sajip.v47i0.1883>
- [30] T. D. Allen and K. Shockley, "Flexible work arrangements: Help or hype," Handbook of families and work: Interdisciplinary perspectives, pp. 265-284, 2009, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1177/00027640121956700>.
- [31] M. D. Tamunomiebi and C. Oyibo, "Work-Life Balance and Employee Performance: A Literature Review," European Journal of Business and Management Research, vol. 5, no. 2, 2020, doi: <https://doi.org/10.24018/ejbmr.2020.5.2.196>.
- [32] S. Stockwell et al., "Changes in physical activity and sedentary behaviors from before to during the COVID-19 pandemic lockdown: a systematic review," BMJ open sport & exercise medicine, vol. 7, no. 1, p. e000960, 2021, doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjsem-2020-000960>.
- [33] M. Rikhi and H. Johar, "Stressors Encountered and Coping Strategies Applied by Work from Home Couples during COVID-19 Pandemic," Indian Journal of Health and Wellbeing, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 316-321, 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://www.proquest.com/openview/7a63413e8249144906576a1ee0094abe/1?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=2032134>.
- [34] S. Russell. "Here's why working from home could be damaging your mental health." World Economic Forum. <https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2019/10/remote-working-from-home-increase-stress-anxiety-mental-health> (accessed 2023).
- [35] B. Montero-Ulate, K. Vasconcelos- Vásquez, and G. Arias-Murillo, "Teletrabajo:: fortaleciendo el trabajo en tiempos de pandemia por COVID-19," Revista de Comunicación y Salud: RCyS, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 109-125, 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://dialnet.unirioja.es/servlet/articulo?codigo=7697392>.
- [36] M. De Stefano, "The Pandemic's Impact on Business Insurance Coverage," Pennsylvania CPA Journal, vol. 92, no. 3, pp. 4-6, 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://www.picpa.org/keep-informed/pennsylvania-cpa-journal/journal-editions/article-category/article/8>.
- [37] S. C. Quimby, "Working From Home: Legal and Insurance Considerations," Insurance Advocate, vol. 131, no. 10, p. 9, 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://markets.businessinsider.com/news/stocks/59-of-small-businesses-without-office-space-won-t-look-for-any-in-2021-citing-both-the-financial-benefits-and-improved-employee-satisfaction-of-remote-work-1030066587#:~:text=WASHINGTON%2C%20Feb.%2010%2C%202021%20%2FPRNewswire%2F%20--%20More%20than,report%20from%20Clutch%2C%20the%20leading%20B2B%20research%20firm>.
- [38] F. Banha, A. Flores, and L. Serra-Coelho, "Quantitizing Qualitative Data from Semi-Structured Interviews: A Methodological Contribution in the Context of Public Policy Decision-Making," Mathematics, vol. 10, no. 19, p. 3597, 2022, doi: <https://doi.org/10.3390/math10193597>
- [39] J. Creswell and C. Poth, Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches. [https://books.google.co.cr/books?hl=en&lr=&id=DLbDQAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PP1&dq=Qualitative+inquiry+and+research+design:+Choosing+among+five+approaches&ots=-hw357HWTu&sig=jwNliUB8h-we2ydoO1g-bRh3KqQ&redir\\_esc=y#v=onepage&q=Qualitative%20inquiry%20and%20research%20design%3A%20Choosing%20among%20five%20approaches&f=false](https://books.google.co.cr/books?hl=en&lr=&id=DLbDQAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PP1&dq=Qualitative+inquiry+and+research+design:+Choosing+among+five+approaches&ots=-hw357HWTu&sig=jwNliUB8h-we2ydoO1g-bRh3KqQ&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q=Qualitative%20inquiry%20and%20research%20design%3A%20Choosing%20among%20five%20approaches&f=false): SAGE Publications, 2018.
- [40] A. Queirós, D. Faria, and F. Almeida, "Strengths and limitations of qualitative and quantitative research methods," European Journal of Education Studies, 2017, doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.46827/ejes.v0i0.1017>.
- [41] R. Hernández-Sampieri and C. P. M. Torres, "Metodología de la investigación," vol. 4, 2018. [Online]. Available: [https://d1wqtxts1xzle7.cloudfront.net/38911499/Sampieri-libre.pdf?1443413652=&response-content-disposition=inline%3B+filename%3DSampieri.pdf&Expires=1688486202&Signature=cEqpZcXOl68i9ujUFaP-XWYO60eN6ybmdm9D8TBBkKqoE5nqrz4R0Zoy2-SmEQv~eSAb60XX3dTnelE7zRyHioV~Psb5tUjVtPHVEe3bMSNNI2d3OZQ7~2sQvJ-LkChNg8NAloZMvY6Yx-1hLFlimHtyT2mbahEskso73yglkC4m1evUReUFQpZxX54x5YPcgm~TqVpusk9O789JF~8QxAq3RazW7Xtra3Zrh9SqMza~YaNowZC~us~U33tMu0t1Z~8WH-diKarmU993g~TC6Xd51Gip9OQPBEIZL8TT0ujwXRKNayWV6vEznTmjgOdKzpoEi12vR1Dtcnmg\\_&Key-Pair-Id=APKAJLOHF5GGSLRBV4ZA](https://d1wqtxts1xzle7.cloudfront.net/38911499/Sampieri-libre.pdf?1443413652=&response-content-disposition=inline%3B+filename%3DSampieri.pdf&Expires=1688486202&Signature=cEqpZcXOl68i9ujUFaP-XWYO60eN6ybmdm9D8TBBkKqoE5nqrz4R0Zoy2-SmEQv~eSAb60XX3dTnelE7zRyHioV~Psb5tUjVtPHVEe3bMSNNI2d3OZQ7~2sQvJ-LkChNg8NAloZMvY6Yx-1hLFlimHtyT2mbahEskso73yglkC4m1evUReUFQpZxX54x5YPcgm~TqVpusk9O789JF~8QxAq3RazW7Xtra3Zrh9SqMza~YaNowZC~us~U33tMu0t1Z~8WH-diKarmU993g~TC6Xd51Gip9OQPBEIZL8TT0ujwXRKNayWV6vEznTmjgOdKzpoEi12vR1Dtcnmg_&Key-Pair-Id=APKAJLOHF5GGSLRBV4ZA).
- [42] C. Hernández-Ávila and N. Carpio-Escobar, "Introducción a los tipos de muestreo," Alerta, Revista científica del Instituto Nacional de Salud, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 75-79, 2019, doi: <https://doi.org/10.5377/alerta.v2i1.7535>
- [43] J. Trevor and M. Holweg, "Managing the new tensions of hybrid work," MIT Sloan Management Review, vol. 64, no. 2, 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://sloanreview.mit.edu/article/managing-the-new-tensions-of-hybrid-work/>.
- [44] N. Bloom, J. M. Barrero, S. Davis, B. Meyer, and E. Mihaylov. "Research: Where Managers and Employees Disagree About Remote Work." Harvard Business Review Digital Articles.



<https://hbr.org/2023/01/research-where-managers-and-employees-disagree-about-remote-work> (accessed 2023).

[45] M. Mortensen. "Tension Is Rising Around Remote Work." Harvard Business Review Digital Articles. <https://store.hbr.org/product/tension-is-rising-around-remote-work/H07PZA> (accessed 2023).

[46] M. Mortensen and H. Bresman. "How Opinions About Hybrid Work Differ Around the Globe." Harvard Business Review Digital Articles. <https://hbr.org/2023/08/how-opinions-about-hybrid-work-differ-around-the-globe> (accessed 2023).